

SITUATIONAL CRISIS COMMUNICATION THEORY (SCCT) IN MARITIME CONTEXTS: CHALLENGES, STRATEGIES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**Koritarov T.,***Assistant Professor, PhD, Nikola Vaptsarov Naval Academy, Varna, Bulgaria*ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7712-8235>**Dimitrakieva S.***Professor, PhD, Technical University of Varna, Bulgaria*ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9639-9957><https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14577680>**Abstract**

Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) is a well-established framework for strategic reputation management during crises. This paper explores the application of SCCT in the maritime sector, focusing on crisis types, response strategies, audience perception, and the role of communication technologies. The study's findings indicate that maritime organizations encounter distinctive challenges due to the wide range of potential crises, encompassing environmental disasters and operational failures. The application of SCCT principles, such as the alignment of response strategies with crisis types and the perception of organizational responsibility, is foundational to the effective management of these situations. Key insights include the importance of understanding audience perceptions and cultural contexts in shaping crisis communication strategies, as well as the integration of modern communication technologies to enhance crisis response capabilities. The research also emphasizes the need for comprehensive training programs, simulation exercises, and ethical considerations in crisis communication. Future directions for the application of SCCT in the maritime sector include integration with other theoretical frameworks, exploration of emerging technologies, and preparation for complex global challenges. The findings demonstrate that when adapted to the specific needs of the maritime industry, SCCT provides a robust framework for managing crises and maintaining stakeholder trust. This underscores the importance of continuous evaluation and improvement of crisis communication strategies to enhance organizational resilience in the face of evolving challenges.

Keywords: Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT), maritime sector, stakeholder trust, reputation management, crisis response strategies, audience perception, communication technologies

1. Introduction

Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) is a well-established framework that guides strategic reputation management during crises. The maritime sector is particularly vulnerable to crises, making effective communication strategies essential for maintaining stakeholder trust and operational stability.

Previous studies have extensively explored SCCT across various industries, highlighting its effectiveness in managing public perception and mitigating reputational damage. However, there is limited research on the specific application of SCCT within the maritime industry, particularly concerning the unique challenges in this sector.

Understanding the nuances of SCCT in maritime contexts can provide valuable insights for enhancing crisis communication practices and better preparing maritime organizations for future crises. Paper's research Question: How can SCCT be effectively applied in the maritime sector to manage different types of crises and stakeholder perceptions?

This paper aims to explore the application of SCCT in the maritime industry, focusing on crisis types, response strategies, audience perception, and the role of communication technologies. The hypothesis is that tailored SCCT strategies, when effectively implemented, can significantly improve crisis response and stakeholder trust in the maritime sector.

2. Situational Crisis Communication Theory: A Framework for Strategic Reputation Management

Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) is a foundational framework within the domain of crisis management and communication, developed by W. Timothy Coombs[1]. The theory under consideration emphasizes the importance of aligning crisis response tactics with the perceived degree of accountability assigned to an organization during a crisis[1]. This coordination is imperative for the preservation of an organization's reputation and the mitigation of adverse impacts on stakeholder perceptions during times of crisis[2][3]. SCCT posits that effective crisis communication must be adapted to the distinct characteristics of each crisis. This adaptation involves the consideration of factors such as the organization's history with previous crises and the particularities of the current crisis[4][5].

One of the key features of SCCT is its emphasis on the situational context surrounding crises. The theory classifies crises into groups according to the degree of accountability assigned to the organization, which can vary from victim clusters (where the organization is viewed as a victim) to intentional clusters (where the organization is seen as responsible for the crisis)[6][7]. This classification is instrumental in assisting crisis managers in selecting appropriate response tactics, such as denial, diminishment, or rebuilding strategies, that are commensurate with the nature of the crisis and

the organization's perceived degree of responsibility[8][9]. For example, throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, organizations that successfully applied SCCT principles managed to reduce reputational harm by implementing effective communication strategies that aligned with public expectations and perceptions[10][11].

A salient attribute of SCCT is its foundation in empirical evidence, which is derived from meticulous research and case studies that demonstrate its efficacy across various contexts[2][12]. The theory offers a systematic method for crisis managers to evaluate the possible reputational risks associated with crises and to formulate strategic responses that can bolster stakeholder confidence and the organization's credibility[13][14]. A review of the extant literature suggests that organizations that proactively disclose information regarding their crisis management strategies and demonstrate a willingness to accept accountability for their actions may foster positive public perceptions and safeguard their reputation, even during periods of adversity[15][16].

Furthermore, SCCT underscores the importance of prompt and transparent communication during periods of crisis. Research has demonstrated that organizations that respond promptly and effectively to crises, while providing clear and precise information, are more likely to preserve their reputation and relationships with stakeholders[17][18]. The theory posits that social media and digital communication platforms exert a significant influence on public perceptions during times of crisis. It is therefore imperative for organizations to recalibrate their communication strategies to align with these evolving channels in order to engage with their audiences in an effective manner[19][20].

The Situational Crisis Communication Theory offers a comprehensive framework for the analysis and management of crises. Its emphasis on evaluating the situation, aligning strategic responses, substantiating findings through empirical evidence, and the significance of prompt communication underscores its relevance in contemporary crisis management methodologies. The implementation of SCCT by organizations enables them to more effectively navigate crises, safeguard their reputations, and uphold stakeholder trust.

Building upon the foundational principles of SCCT, it is essential to explore its specific applications and implications within the maritime sector. The next section will examine how SCCT principles are adapted and implemented in maritime operations. This analysis will provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of SCCT in addressing the specific needs and challenges of maritime crisis communication.

3. Crisis Communication in the Maritime Sector: An Analysis of SCCT Applications and Stakeholder Engagement

The implementation of SCCT in the context of maritime operations constitutes a multifaceted undertaking, encompassing intricacies inherent in crisis management, communication methodologies, and stakeholder engagement. This section will provide a synopsis of the fundamental components of SCCT relevant to maritime operations, including the categorization of

crises, response strategies, audience perceptions, and the significance of communication technologies.

Understanding Crisis Types in Maritime Operations

Maritime activities are susceptible to various forms of crises, encompassing environmental emergencies, such as oil spills, and operational issues, including vessel collisions and equipment failures[21][22][23]. Each crisis type imposes unique challenges and necessitates bespoke communication approaches. As stated by Coombs, crises can be classified according to the degree of responsibility assigned to the organization, which affects public perception and the strategies employed in response[24]. For instance, in circumstances where an organization is perceived as deficient in responsibility, such as during natural disasters, the recommended approach might entail accentuating the uncontrollable elements of the crisis[25]. Conversely, crises engendered by organizational negligence necessitate communication strategies that are more remorseful and oriented towards rectification[26].

The maritime industry has encountered major challenges, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, which interrupted worldwide shipping and logistics[27][28][29]. The pandemic underscored the importance of robust crisis communication strategies that adhere to SCCT principles, as organizations managed public health challenges while ensuring operational continuity[28][30][29]. By gaining insight into the particular characteristics of the crisis, maritime organizations can more effectively customize their communication strategies to meet the concerns and expectations of stakeholders.

Crisis Response Strategies in Maritime Contexts

SCCT describes various response tactics that organizations may adopt in times of crisis, such as denial, diminishment, rebuilding, and bolstering[24]. In the context of maritime operations, the selection of a strategic approach is influenced by the nature of the crisis and the organization's perspective on its own accountability. For instance, in the event of an oil spill[21], an organization might implement a rebuilding approach by accepting responsibility, providing compensation, and pledging to restore the environment[26]. This strategy is designed to address current issues while also seeking to rebuild trust and credibility with stakeholders.

Research indicates that the efficacy of these approaches is contingent upon factors such as audience segmentation and the severity of the crisis[31]. Maritime organizations need to take into account the various stakeholders involved, such as regulatory agencies, local communities, and environmental organizations, all of whom may have unique expectations and viewpoints regarding the crisis response[32][33][34]. By utilizing a detailed strategy that takes these elements into account, maritime organizations can improve their crisis communication effectiveness and reduce possible reputational harm.

Audience Perception and Stakeholder Engagement

The manner in which the audience perceives a crisis, as well as the organization's subsequent reaction,

plays a pivotal role in shaping the overall impact of the crisis on its reputation and the trust of stakeholders[24]. SCCT underscores the pivotal role of communication that is tailored to the audience's needs, encompassing the comprehension of their emotional and cognitive responses to crises[24]. In the context of maritime operations, effective communication is paramount to ensure the consideration of diverse interests, including those of crew members, shipping companies, and the general public.

Research indicates that clear and prompt communication can greatly impact public trust and contentment during emergencies[35]. For example, throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, maritime companies that skillfully conveyed their safety protocols and operational changes were more likely to sustain the confidence of stakeholders[30]. This emphasizes the importance for maritime organizations to focus on engaging their audience and implementing feedback systems in their crisis communication plans.

The Role of Communication Technologies

In today's maritime environment, communication technologies are crucial for managing and responding to crises[36]. The incorporation of digital communication platforms enables maritime organizations to share information quickly and efficiently in times of crisis[36][37][38][39][40]. For instance, social media has evolved into a pivotal medium for instantaneous communication, enabling organizations to establish direct connections with a vast audience and expeditiously address the dissemination of false information[41].

Furthermore, the advent of enhanced maritime communication technologies, such as the VHF Data Exchange System (VDES), has been demonstrated to improve situational awareness and facilitate enhanced coordination during emergency situations[42]. The utilization of these technologies by maritime organizations has been demonstrated to enhance their ability to respond to crises and ensure the provision of accurate and timely information to stakeholders[36][38][39].

Training and Preparedness in Crisis Communication

The efficacy of crisis communication in maritime operations is contingent on the preparedness and training of personnel[43]. Organizations should allocate resources to training programs that prepare employees with the essential skills for effective communication in crisis situations[44][45][46]. This process entails a comprehensive understanding of the fundamental principles of SCCT, an acknowledgment of the significance of audience perception, and the implementation of appropriate response strategies.

Furthermore, simulation drills that emulate crisis situations can aid organizations in assessing their communication methods and identifying opportunities for enhancement[47][30][48]. By cultivating an environment that emphasizes preparedness and ongoing education, maritime institutions can enhance their capacity to rapidly recover and respond effectively during emergency situations[44][45][47].

Ethical Considerations in Crisis Communication

In the context of crisis communication, ethical considerations assume paramount importance, particularly within the maritime industry, where the consequences can be profound. Organizations must judiciously balance the need for transparency with the risk of compromising their reputation. The SCCT underscores the pivotal role of ethical communication standards, encompassing honesty, accountability, and respect for stakeholders[24]. During maritime emergencies, when the safety of the environment and human lives is threatened, the importance of ethical considerations becomes significantly heightened.

For example, in the event of an environmental catastrophe, it is crucial for organizations to focus on sharing precise information about the effects of the incident and the measures being implemented to manage it. Not doing so may result in public anger and long-term harm to the organization's reputation[26]. By following ethical communication standards, maritime organizations can cultivate trust and reliability among stakeholders, even in difficult situations.

The Impact of Cultural Context on Crisis Communication

The cultural context is essential in influencing how crisis communication strategies are developed and how audiences react. Various cultures might have distinct expectations concerning organizational responsibility and the manner of communication adopted during crises[49]. In the maritime industry, where activities frequently cross various nations and cultures, companies need to be sensitive to these differences in order to successfully connect with a wide range of stakeholders.

Research indicates that grasping cultural subtleties can improve the efficiency of crisis communication approaches[50]. For instance, certain cultures may favor straightforward communication, whereas others might require a subtler method. By tailoring their communication techniques to fit cultural norms, maritime organizations can enhance their crisis management capabilities and build positive connections with stakeholders.

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Crisis Communication Strategies

To promote ongoing enhancement of crisis communication methods, maritime organizations need to review how effective their strategies were after a crisis. This process includes gathering feedback from stakeholders, examining media reports, and assessing shifts in public perception[51]. Through comprehensive assessments, organizations can pinpoint their strengths and weaknesses in crisis communication and make educated modifications for upcoming crises.

Additionally, integrating insights gained from previous crises into training and preparedness initiatives can improve an organization's resilience and ability to adapt[30]. This ongoing cycle of assessment and enhancement is crucial for maritime organizations aiming to effectively manage the intricacies of crisis communication.

Future Directions for SCCT in Maritime Operations

As the maritime sector keeps changing, the use of SCCT must adjust to new challenges and possibilities. Upcoming studies ought to investigate the combination

of SCCT with other theoretical models, like the Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication (CERC) framework, to gain a deeper insight into crisis communication within maritime settings[52]. Furthermore, the effects of technological progress, including artificial intelligence and machine learning, on crisis communication strategies deserve additional exploration[53][54][55][47][56][57].

Moreover, as global issues such as climate change and geopolitical conflicts increasingly impact maritime activities, organizations must be prepared to address these complex crises with effective communication strategies[58][32][59]. By cultivating a keen awareness of emergent trends and challenges, maritime organizations can enhance their crisis communication methodologies and provide more effective support to their stakeholders.

A thorough examination of the theoretical foundations and practical applications of Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) in various contexts, with a particular focus on its relevance to the maritime sector, has been conducted. The paper will now synthesize these insights and draw overarching conclusions. The subsequent section will provide a synopsis of the primary findings of the analysis, evaluate the efficacy of SCCT in addressing maritime crisis communication challenges, and discuss the broader implications for crisis management strategies in this critical global industry.

4. Conclusion

This research has explored the application of Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) in the maritime sector, focusing on crisis types, response strategies, audience perception, and the role of communication technologies. The findings support the hypothesis that tailored SCCT strategies, when effectively implemented, can significantly improve crisis response and stakeholder trust in the maritime industry.

The study revealed that maritime organizations face unique challenges in crisis communication due to the diverse nature of potential crises, ranging from environmental disasters to operational failures. The application of SCCT principles, such as matching response strategies to crisis types and perceived organizational responsibility, proves crucial in managing these situations effectively.

Key insights include the importance of understanding audience perceptions and cultural contexts in shaping crisis communication strategies. The research highlighted that transparent, timely, and culturally sensitive communication can significantly influence public trust and satisfaction during crises. Moreover, the integration of modern communication technologies, particularly social media and advanced maritime communication systems, has emerged as a critical factor in enhancing crisis response capabilities.

The study also emphasized the need for comprehensive training programs and simulation exercises to prepare maritime personnel for effective crisis communication. Ethical considerations in crisis communication were identified as paramount, particularly in situations involving environmental and human safety risks.

Future directions for SCCT application in the maritime sector include the integration with other theoretical frameworks, exploration of emerging technologies like artificial intelligence, and preparation for complex global challenges such as climate change and geopolitical tensions.

In conclusion, this research demonstrates that SCCT, when adapted to the specific needs of the maritime industry, provides a robust framework for managing crises and maintaining stakeholder trust. The findings underscore the importance of continuous evaluation and improvement of crisis communication strategies to enhance organizational resilience in the face of evolving challenges in the maritime sector.

References:

1. W. T. Coombs, "Protecting Organization Reputations During a Crisis: The Development and Application of Situational Crisis Communication Theory," *Corporate Reputation Review*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 163–176, Sep. 2007, doi: 10.1057/palgrave.crr.1550049.
2. W. T. Coombs, "The Protective Powers of Crisis Response Strategies," *Journal of Promotion Management*, vol. 12, no. 3–4, pp. 241–260, Sep. 2006, doi: 10.1300/J057v12n03_13.
3. W. T. Coombs and S. J. Holladay, "Helping Crisis Managers Protect Reputational Assets," *Manag Commun Q*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 165–186, Nov. 2002, doi: 10.1177/089331802237233.
4. S. Zheng, G. Yang, and S. Chen, "Stock market reaction to product-harm crisis response strategies," *PLoS One*, vol. 18, no. 8, p. e0290548, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0290548.
5. W. T. Coombs, "Impact of Past Crises on Current Crisis Communication: Insights From Situational Crisis Communication Theory," *Journal of Business Communication*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 265–289, Jul. 2004, doi: 10.1177/0021943604265607.
6. S. Stieglitz, M. Mirbabaie, T. Kroll, and J. Marx, "'Silence' as a strategy during a corporate crisis – the case of Volkswagen's 'Dieselgate,'" *Internet Research*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 921–939, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1108/INTR-05-2018-0197.
7. R. Kriyantono and B. McKenna, "Crisis Response vs Crisis Cluster: A Test of Situational Crisis Communication Theory on Crisis with Two Crisis Clusters in Indonesian Public Relations," *Jurnal Komunikasi: Malaysian Journal of Communication*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 222–236, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.17576/JKMJC-2019-3501-15.
8. B. Liu-Lastres, H. Kim, and T. Ying, "Learning from past crises: Evaluating hotels' online crisis responses to health crises," *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 372–378, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1177/1467358419857779.
9. I. E. Salem, Z. Elkhwesky, and H. Ramkisson, "A content analysis for government's and hotels' response to COVID-19 pandemic in Egypt," *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 42–59, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1177/14673584211002614.
10. S. Gupta, N. Pande, T. Arumugam, and M. A. Sanjeev, "Reputational impact of Covid-19 pandemic

management on World Health Organization among Indian public health professionals,” *J Public Aff*, vol. 23, no. 1, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1002/pa.2842.

11. M. O’Shea, L. Mou, L. Xu, and R. Aikins, “Communicating COVID-19: Analyzing Higher Education Institutional Responses in Canada, China, and the USA,” *Higher Education Policy*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 629–650, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1057/s41307-022-00276-y.

12. A. I. Effiong, “Managing Reputation Risk and Situational Crisis in Higher Institutions of Learning,” *Independent Journal of Management & Production*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 458–479, May 2014, doi: 10.14807/ijmp.v5i2.158.

13. L. B. Cain, J. Sutton, and M. K. Olson, “Wireless Emergency Alerts and organisational response: Instructing and adjusting information in alerts,” *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, vol. 32, no. 1, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.1111/1468-5973.12516.

14. Y. Liu, X. Li, R. Ding, T. He, and X. Wang, “Coping Ability and Promotion Countermeasures of Medical and Health Institutions Reputation Crisis: A Case Study in Hubei Province,” *Front Public Health*, vol. 9, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.802004.

15. S. Park and H. W. Park, “A webometric network analysis of electronic word of mouth (eWOM) characteristics and machine learning approach to consumer comments during a crisis,” *El profesional de la información*, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.3145/epi.2020.sep.16.

16. J. Macnamara, “New insights into crisis communication from an ‘inside’ emic perspective during COVID-19,” *Public Relations Inquiry*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 237–262, May 2021, doi: 10.1177/2046147X21999972.

17. M. Gascó, P. S. Bayerl, S. Deneff, and B. Akhgar, “What do citizens communicate about during crises? Analyzing twitter use during the 2011 UK riots,” *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 635–645, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2017.11.005.

18. L. Zizka and M.-M. Chen, ““Sanitary measures, social distancing, safety”: The evolution of Swiss hoteliers’ Covid-19 communication through three snapshots,” *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 463–478, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.1177/14673584231162279.

19. U. A. Bukar, M. A. Jabar, F. Sidi, R. N. H. B. Nor, S. Abdullah, and M. Othman, “Crisis Informatics in the Context of Social Media Crisis Communication: Theoretical Models, Taxonomy, and Open Issues,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 185842–185869, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3030184.

20. E. D. Waters and S. C. D’Urso, “Commentary—Space is Hard: Using Social Media for Selective Investigative Disclosure as a Multi-faceted Crisis Communication Strategy to Achieve Technical Transparency,” *International Journal of Business Communication*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 635–655, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.1177/2329488420978607.

21. D. Dimitrakiev, Y. Dachev, and D. Milev, “Response organization and control strategies during oil spills,” *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1825–1828, Apr.

2020, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85083499101&origin=resultslist&sort=plf-f>

22. D. Dimitrakiev, D. Milev, and E. Gunes, “The Risk Analysis of Chemical Tankers Passing Through the Turkish Straits between 2010 – 2022,” *Strategies for Policy in Science and Education-Strategii na Obrazovatelna i Nauchna Politika*, vol. 31, no. 3s, pp. 45–55, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.53656/str2023-3s-3-the.

23. D. Dimitrakiev and I. Conev, “Online E-Learning for teaching COLREGS in Bulgarian Naval Academy,” in *Industrial Growth Conference*, Nessebar, Bulgaria: PUBLISHING COMPLEX – UNWE, Oct. 2020, pp. 72–80. [Online]. Available: <https://www.industrialgrowth.eu/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/IG-Conference-2020-E-Book.pdf>

24. W. T. Coombs, “Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT): Refining and Clarifying a Cognitive-Based Theory of Crisis Communication,” in *The Handbook of Crisis Communication*, Wiley, 2022, ch. Chapter 14, pp. 193–204. doi: 10.1002/9781119678953.ch14.

25. S. Kim, Y. Jin, and B. H. Reber, “Assessing an organizational crisis at the construal level: how psychological distance impacts publics’ crisis responses,” *Journal of Communication Management*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 319–337, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1108/JCOM-11-2019-0148.

26. S. M. Hegner, A. D. Beldad, and A.-L. Kraesgenberg, “The Impact of Crisis Response Strategy, Crisis Type, and Corporate Social Responsibility on Post-crisis Consumer Trust and Purchase Intention,” *Corporate Reputation Review*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 357–370, Nov. 2016, doi: 10.1057/s41299-016-0007-y.

27. A. Oyenuga, “Perspectives on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the global and African maritime transport sectors, and the potential implications for Africa’s maritime governance,” *WMU Journal of Maritime Affairs*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 215–245, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s13437-021-00233-3.

28. B. Belev and V. Stoyanov, “THE EDUCATION IN ‘NAVIGATION’ SPECIALTY AT NIKOLA VAPTSAROV NAVAL ACADEMY IN THE TERMS OF COVID-19,” *STRATEGIES FOR POLICY IN SCIENCE AND EDUCATION-STRATEGII NA OBRAZOVATELNATA I NAUCHNATA POLITIKA*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 481–500, 2020, Accessed: Jan. 17, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://publons.com/publon/37421020/>

29. B. Belev, N. Nikolov, J. Dorigatti, and G. J. Mrčelić, “Students’ Attitude to Online Emergency Remote Teaching (ERT) at Nikola Vaptsarov Naval Academy and Faculty of Maritime Studies Split during COVID-19 crisis,” *Transactions on Maritime Science*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 294–306, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.7225/toms.v11.n01.024.

30. J. Y. Chua, R. Foo, K. H. Tan, and K. F. Yuen, “Maritime resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic: impacts and solutions,” *Continuity & Resilience Review*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 124–143, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1108/CRR-09-2021-0031.

31. H. J. Oh, J. Kim, and C.-D. Ham, “Crisis Management for Sustainable Corporate Value: Finding a

Construal Fit between Social Distance, Crisis Response, and Crisis Severity,” *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 16, p. 10244, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.3390/su141610244.

32. S. Velinov, “DECARBONIZATION OF MARITIME INDUSTRY,” *Списание Морско право и индустрия*, vol. 2, pp. 183–191, 2024, Accessed: Oct. 20, 2024. [Online]. Available: [https://maritime.vfu.bg/files/Svilen%20Velinov,%20Decarbonization%20of%20Maritime%20Industry%20\(%D0%92%D0%92%D0%9C%D0%A3\).pdf](https://maritime.vfu.bg/files/Svilen%20Velinov,%20Decarbonization%20of%20Maritime%20Industry%20(%D0%92%D0%92%D0%9C%D0%A3).pdf)

33. T. J. Wen, J.-Y. Li, and B. Song, “Does public segmentation matter in crisis communication? The interplay between public segmentation and crisis response strategies,” *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 622–635, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1108/CCIJ-11-2020-0158.

34. B. Belev, “Implementation of Ballast Water Management Convention Requirements in Maritime Education,” *Pedagogika-Pedagogy*, vol. 95, no. 5s, pp. 9–18, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.53656/ped2023-5s.01.

35. E. N. SERENGİL and B. GÜLAY, “Crisis Communication in the Context of Rational and Emotional Benefit: The Case of the Aviation Sector,” *Journal of Aviation*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 50–55, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.30518/jav.1409930.

36. C. Atanasova, “Digital platforms as factor transforming maritime education and industry,” in *Proceedings of the International Association of Maritime Universities Conference*, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85143830621&partnerID=40&md5=f1f8a0726219d87cf04e18a4c8f12e1f>

37. F. S. Alqurashi, A. Trichili, N. Saeed, B. S. Ooi, and M.-S. Alouini, “Maritime Communications: A Survey on Enabling Technologies, Opportunities, and Challenges,” *IEEE Internet Things J*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 3525–3547, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2022.3219674.

38. D. Dimitrakiev and A. V Molodchik, “Digital Platforms as Factor Transforming Management Models in Businesses and Industries,” *J Phys Conf Ser*, vol. 1015, no. 4, p. 042040, May 2018, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1015/4/042040.

39. A. Molodchik, A. Krutova, I. Elohoova, and D. Dimitrakiev, “Models of platform economy,” in *Proceedings of 11th International Conference Actual economy: local solutions for global challenges*, March 21 - March 24, 2018, pp. 20–23.

40. A. Molodchik, D. Dimitrakiev, and G. Ostapenko, “CREATING A PLATFORM BASED BUSINESS MODEL IN DENTAL,” in *Innovation Management, Entrepreneurship and Sustainability (IMES 2018)*, May 31- June 1, Prague, 2018, pp. 696–707. [Online]. Available: https://imes.vse.cz/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Conference_Proceedings_IMES_2018.pdf

41. D. Langaro, H. Neves, and S. Loureiro, “IS APOLOGY THE BEST STRATEGY TO MITIGATE ONLINE FIRESTORMS IN SOCIAL MEDIA?,” *Global Fashion Management Conference*, vol. 2020, pp. 1374–1382, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.15444/GMC2020.10.05.04.

42. F. Lázaro, R. Raulefs, W. Wang, F. Clazzer, and S. Plass, “VHF Data Exchange System (VDES): an enabling technology for maritime communications,” *CEAS Space Journal*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 55–63, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s12567-018-0214-8.

43. D. Dimitranov and B. Belev, “Sustainable Shipping Requires Sustainable Education and Training,” *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 24, p. 11270, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.3390/su162411270.

44. D. Dimitrakiev, V. Stankov, and C. Atanasova, “Simulator Training – Unique Powerful Instrument for Educating, Skills Creating, Mitigating Skills and Resilience Creating,” *Strategies for Policy in Science and Education-Strategii na Obrazovatelna i Nauchna Politika*, vol. 31, no. 6s, pp. 103–111, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.53656/str2023-6s-9-sim.

45. B. Belev, “Life Long Learning Strategy For Adopting The Education and Training Standards In Maritime Industry,” *Pedagogika-Pedagogy*, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.53656/ped2021-5.04.

46. M. B. Simanjuntak, P. S. Sijabat, L. Barasa, A. G. Malau, and M. Mudakir, “Exploring English Literacy and Communication Needs among New Cadets in Maritime Education,” *EDUKATIF : JURNAL ILMU PENDIDIKAN*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 996–1003, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.31004/edukatif.v6i1.6026.

47. B. C. Belev and S. I. Daskalov, “Computer technologies in shipping and a new tendency in ship’s officers’ education and training,” *IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng*, vol. 618, no. 1, p. 012034, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/618/1/012034.

48. I. Conev and D. Dimitrakiev, “Use of modern technologies at Naval Academy Varna,” in *Proceedings of the International Association of Maritime Universities (IAMU) Conference*, Helsinki, Finland 18–21st of October 2023, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85185220315&partnerID=40&md5=52f8526ae19b20716c7107d83f1b524a>

49. Y.-H. C. Huang, F. Wu, and Y. Cheng, “Crisis communication in context: Cultural and political influences underpinning Chinese public relations practice,” *Public Relat Rev*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 201–213, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2015.11.015.

50. D. Sun and Y. Li, “Influence of Strategic Crisis Communication on Public Perceptions during Public Health Crises: Insights from YouTube Chinese Media,” *Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 91, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.3390/bs14020091.

51. E. D. Dominic, M. Mahamed, Z. Abdullah, and N. Binti Hashim, “A Quantitative Study on Sctt: Examining The Relationships Between Crisis Response Strategy, Crisis History, and Crisis Type on Organisational Reputation: Nigerian Perspective,” *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 10, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.6007/IJARBS/v12-i10/10002.

52. E. Al Nashmi and M. Bashir, “The Kuwaiti Government’s Twitter Discourse During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Toward a Crisis Communication Model

Using SCCT and CERC,” *Journal of Creative Communications*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 161–178, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.1177/09732586231221333.

53. K. Narleva and S. Velinov, “DIGITALIZATION IN THE MARITIME INDUSTRY: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES,” e-journal “Maritime Law and Industry,” no. 2, pp. 352–363, Dec. 2024, [Online]. Available: [https://maritime.vfu.bg/files/%D0%B4%D0%BE%D1%86.%20%D0%B4-%D1%80%20%D0%A9%D0%B0%D0%BC%D0%B5%D0%BB%D0%B8%D1%8F%20%D0%9D%D0%B0%D1%80%D0%BB%D0%B5%D0%B2%D0%B0,%20%D0%B3%D0%BB.%20%D0%B0%D1%81.%20%D0%B4-%D1%80%20%D0%A1%D0%B2%D0%B8%D0%B%D0%B5%D0%BD%20%D0%92%D0%B5%D0%BB%D0%B8%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%B2,%20%D0%94%D0%98%D0%93%D0%98%D0%A2%D0%90%D0%9B%D0%98%D0%97%D0%90%D0%A6%D0%98%D0%AF%D0%A2%D0%90%20%D0%92%20%D0%9C%D0%9E%D0%A0%D0%A1%D0%A9%D0%90%D0%A2%D0%90%20%D0%98%D0%9D%D0%94%D0%A3%D0%A1%D0%A2%D0%A0%D0%98%D0%AF%20\(%D0%92%D0%92%D0%9C%D0%A3\).pdf](https://maritime.vfu.bg/files/%D0%B4%D0%BE%D1%86.%20%D0%B4-%D1%80%20%D0%A9%D0%B0%D0%BC%D0%B5%D0%BB%D0%B8%D1%8F%20%D0%9D%D0%B0%D1%80%D0%BB%D0%B5%D0%B2%D0%B0,%20%D0%B3%D0%BB.%20%D0%B0%D1%81.%20%D0%B4-%D1%80%20%D0%A1%D0%B2%D0%B8%D0%B%D0%B5%D0%BD%20%D0%92%D0%B5%D0%BB%D0%B8%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%B2,%20%D0%94%D0%98%D0%93%D0%98%D0%A2%D0%90%D0%9B%D0%98%D0%97%D0%90%D0%A6%D0%98%D0%AF%D0%A2%D0%90%20%D0%92%20%D0%9C%D0%9E%D0%A0%D0%A1%D0%A9%D0%90%D0%A2%D0%90%20%D0%98%D0%9D%D0%94%D0%A3%D0%A1%D0%A2%D0%A0%D0%98%D0%AF%20(%D0%92%D0%92%D0%9C%D0%A3).pdf)

54. J. Zhang, M. M. Wang, T. Xia, and L. Wang, “Maritime IoT: An Architectural and Radio Spectrum Perspective,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 93109–93122, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2990830.

55. K. Narleva and Y. Gancheva, “The Role of Maritime Education in Digitalization,” *Pedagogika-Pedagogy*, vol. 95, no. 6s, pp. 132–141, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.53656/ped2023-6s.12.

56. C. Atanasova, “Transforming Maritime Education for a Digital Industry,” *Strategies for Policy in Science and Education-Стратегии на Образователната и Научната Политика*, vol. 31, no. 6s, pp. 9–18, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.53656/str2023-6s-1-mar.

57. B. Belev, A. Penev, Đ. Mohović, and A. Perić Hadžić, “Autonomous ships in maritime education model course 7.01,” *Pomorstvo*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 388–394, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.31217/p.35.2.20.

58. I. Yotsov, *THE ECONOMIC WARS - Theory and Practice in the 20th and 21st centuries*, Monography. Varna, Bulgaria: Nikola Vaptsarov Naval Academy, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375776844_IVO_JO-COV_IKONOMICESKITE_VOJNI_TE-ORIA_I_PRAKTIKA_PREZ_HH_I_HHI_VEK

59. B. C. Belev and S. I. Daskalov, “Ballast Water Treatment Systems on Board of Merchant Vessels and Crew Training,” *Ecologia Balkanica*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 205–214, Jun. 2019, [Online]. Available: http://web.uni-plov-div.bg/mollov/EB/2019_vol11_iss1/205-214_eb.19119.pdf