

FROM MEGAWATTS TO MEGABITS: GEOPOLITICAL FISSURES AND ENERGY DETERMINANTS OF DIGITAL DIVIDE**Dr. Pavlova V.***Ch. Assist.***ORCID ID**<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4748-4279>*Institute of Philosophy and Sociology – Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Department of Social Theories, Strategies and Prognoses
Sofia, Bulgaria***ОТ МЕГАВАТИ КЪМ МЕГАБИТИ: ГЕОПОЛИТИЧЕСКИ РАЗЛОМИ И ЕНЕРГИЙНИ ДЕТЕРМИНАНТИ НА ДИГИТАЛНОТО НЕРАВЕНСТВО****д-р Павлова В.***Гл. ас.***ORCID ID**<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4748-4279>*Институт по философия и социология – Българска академия на науките, Секция „Социални теории, стратегии и прогнози“
София, България*<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21411837>**Abstract**

While much of the developing world remains constrained by a vicious cycle wherein persistent energy deficits condemn entire regions to technological isolation, China fundamentally inverts this socio-economic paradigm. Through the strategic and large-scale deployment of renewable energy infrastructure and the subsequent provisioning of cost-effective, abundant electricity, Beijing establishes a foundation that extends far beyond a conventional industrial boom. This state of energy abundance functions as a primary catalyst for nationwide digital transformation. Specifically, it provides the immense generating capacity necessary to sustain millions of 5G base stations and hyperscale data centers even within the country's most geographically remote territories. The model expands into a global geoeconomic strategy through which China exports clean technologies and transforms emerging markets. Consequently, the People's Republic of China (PRC) is proposing a fundamental shift in the paradigm of global development policy: the mitigation of the digital divide does not merely initiate at the user interface, but is fundamentally rooted in the resilience, capacity, and sovereignty of the electrical grid.

Анотация

Докато голяма част от развиващия се свят остава ограничена от порочен кръг, в който постоянният енергиен дефицит обрича цели региони на технологична изолация, Китай фундаментално преобръща социално-икономическата парадигма. Чрез стратегическото и мащабно разгръщане на инфраструктура за възобновяема енергия и последващото осигуряване на рентабилно и изобилно електричество, Пекин създава основа, която надхвърля рамките на конвенционалния индустриален бум. Това състояние на енергийно изобилие функционира като основен катализатор за националната дигитална трансформация. По-конкретно, то осигурява колосалния генериращ капацитет, необходим за поддържането на милиони 5G базови станции и хипермащабни центрове за данни дори в най-географски отдалечените територии на страната. Моделът прераства в глобална геоикономическа стратегия, чрез която Китай изнася чисти технологии и трансформира нововъзникващите пазари. Китайската народна република (КНР) следователно предлага критична промяна в парадигмата на глобалната политика за развитие: преодоляването на дигиталното неравенство не започва просто от потребителския интерфейс, а се корени фундаментално в устойчивостта, капацитета и суверенитета на електрическата мрежа.

Keywords: Digital Divide, Energy Abundance, Infrastructure Development, Digital Economics, USA, China, Global South.

Ключови думи: Дигитално неравенство, енергийно изобилие, инфраструктурно развитие, дигитална икономика, САЩ, Китай, Глобален юг.

Introduction

In the contemporary global economy, the concepts of digitalization and artificial intelligence (AI) are frequently analyzed in the abstract, decoupled from their physical infrastructure determinants. The purpose of this study is to demonstrate that digital advancement is a direct function of energy grid capacity. The widespread deployment of Large Language Models (LLMs) and 5G networks necessitates the allocation of colossal

amounts of continuous base-load power. This material reality forces global economies into a critical cross-road: either execute centralized resource coordination or succumb to market-driven infrastructure chaos. The proposed text examines the profound divergence between the market-centric model of the West, which breeds resource deficits and social friction, and the state-directed model of China, which leverages energy

abundance as a structural lever to achieve digital equity on both a national and global scale.

1. The Flawed Market Model of the West: Energy Deficits and Structural Digital Isolation

The current paradigm of global digital transformation assigns a leading role to physical infrastructure within economic theory. Computing based on neural networks consumes massive amounts of baseline electricity; according to the International Energy Agency, global data center power consumption already exceeds 450 TWh annually [1]. In the West, this transition unfolds via a decentralized market model, where tech giants construct computational facilities without any strategic coordination with state energy plans – a process that generates severe localized asymmetries and “infrastructural cannibalism”.

The European Union (EU) is experiencing a profound structural crisis in its energy security, which directly paralyzes its digital sovereignty [2]. Under heavy geopolitical pressure from Washington, Brussels severed its decades-long supply agreements for cheap pipeline natural gas from the Russian Federation. The forced transition to highly volatile Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) not only drives industrial electricity prices in the EU to levels twice as high as those in the United States and 50% higher than in China, but also subjects the Union to permanent energy insecurity due to the high risks accompanying the maritime transport of this gas (and hydrocarbons in general), as exemplified by disruptions such as the blockade of the Strait of Hormuz.

Due to a critical lack of transmission grid capacity, the lead time to connect a new data center to national grids in the five primary European hubs (Frankfurt, London, Amsterdam, Paris, Dublin) averages 7 years, reaching between 10 and 15 years in critical zones [3]. Over 40% of Europe’s distribution grids are outdated and amortized, yet required modernization investments through 2050 are estimated at €2.3 trillion [2]. This trend encapsulates digital capital within a few congested zones, condemning peripheral and lower-income regions in Eastern and Southern Europe to long-term digital isolation.

1.1. The United States: Infrastructural Cannibalism and Grid Capacity Collapse

The United States concentrates nearly 40% of global data center power consumption. Research by the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory indicates that by the end of the decade, computational facilities will engage up to 15% of the total electricity output of the country [4].

Unlike Chinese energy grids, American infrastructure proves incapable of sustaining the expansion of artificial intelligence. The largest electrical grid operator in America – PJM Interconnection (covering 13 states) – stands on the precipice of a systemic crisis. This gridlock stems from rapidly rising consumption driven by AI, juxtaposed against a stumbling power generation capacity, the cost of which is continuously escalating.

By 2030, PJM requires an additional 32 GW of capacity primarily due to the exponential growth of AI and data centers. Concurrently, older fossil-fuel generators are being retired significantly faster than they are being replaced, while compounding interconnection

delays block hundreds of shovel-ready clean energy projects across the region. The operator formally acknowledges the severity of this situation: “*Under extreme weather scenarios, PJM’s modeling shows that without intervention, parts of the grid could face intermittent blackouts*” [5].

Capacity costs within PJM have suffered a market shock valued at \$9.3 billion. These massive infrastructural expenses are passed directly to everyday consumers; average monthly residential utility bills rise by \$18 in Maryland and \$16 in Ohio. Digital expansion in the US is thus actively cannibalizing the living standards of its population.

The ecosystems of rapidly evolving generative AI, relying heavily on the operations of data processing centers, generate an average electricity demand equivalent to the consumption of 50,000 households per facility. As of March 2024, nearly 5.4 thousand data centers operate within the United States. In other nations, these numbers are dozens of times lower: 521 in Germany, 514 in the United Kingdom, 449 in China, and 297 in Russia. American leadership in the development of the data center industry is evident. However, the energy price of this leadership is startlingly high: 5.4 thousand data centers consume energy equivalent to the amount needed to sustain 270 million households [6].

1.2. Public Backlash, Resource Deficits, and Geopolitical Stagnation in AI

Instead of serving as an engine for socio-economic equity, digitalization in the West drains vital local resources, a process that triggers widespread public and social unrest. America’s water system is fragmented into roughly 50,000 community water systems, most of them tiny and underfunded.

Very small service areas may not have the finances to construct adequate storage, leaving them especially vulnerable to spikes. These small utilities are easy targets for well-funded tech giants that can hire lawyers and engineers to push through their demands. The current corporate reporting system hides the problem: data center operators report annual gallons across their entire fleet, not peak local usage.

Every major data center necessitates up to 5 million gallons of fresh water daily for cooling purposes [7]. But what happens when a town can’t supply enough water? Data centers switch to dry cooling – a method that uses 25–35% more electricity, shifting the crisis from the water system to the summer power grid. That’s a silent transfer of the burden that few people notice. Meanwhile, the tech companies avoid accountability by keeping their water consumption data opaque. Coordinated water-power planning is absent, and local officials are left to scramble [8].

Nearly 60% of new AI facilities in the United States are being constructed in drought-affected states, including Arizona, Texas, and Utah. In Arizona and Virginia, citizens are engaging in mass protests against AI infrastructure, which threatens domestic water supplies and triggers localized grid brownouts during summer heatwaves.

Political paralysis becomes inevitable as public resentment forces the political system to respond [9]. Over 54 official moratoriums are already active across

the United States, halting or delaying data center projects valued at a combined \$64 billion.

This combination of energy deficits, prolonged litigation, and civil resistance acts as a structural brake on the Western tech sector. While American corporations spend years fighting for megawatt allocations and water permits, their computational clusters lose velocity. This introduces a severe risk of an irreversible lag behind China in the AI revolution due to a lack of foundational physical infrastructure.

2. The Chinese Model: Digital Equity via State Energy Sovereignty and Global Expansion

The People's Republic of China demonstrates a fundamentally divergent strategy rooted in state-directed planning and energy sovereignty [10]. China establishes itself as a pivotal power with growing influence within the global artificial intelligence ecosystem. To achieve this, the country synthesizes state industrial policy – encompassing everything from infrastructure and data to model development and deployment – with private sector innovation and international cooperation. The Chinese approach differs significantly from that of the United States, with substantial efforts being directed toward overcoming the challenges posed by US-imposed export controls.

During a Politburo session in the spring of 2025, Xi Jinping called on China to “leverage its national potential” and take the initiative to “achieve supremacy in the field of artificial intelligence” [11]. This ambition drives the pursuit of technological autonomy and an effort to close the gap with American technologies by developing domestic alternatives, such as Huawei's Ascend chips and AI frameworks like MindSpore and PaddlePaddle. These strategic objectives are further underpinned by massive investments in renewable energy (RES) designed to satisfy the future demands of Chinese AI infrastructure, given that achieving adequate levels of energy security fundamentally implies minimizing dependence on foreign energy sources. By expanding coal production, allocating resources for shale gas development, exploring offshore oil fields, and accelerating RES integration, China broadens its energy portfolio, thereby heavily neutralizing geopolitical risks, trade disputes, supply disruptions, and price volatility.

The unprecedented domestic capacity evolves into a large-scale geoeconomic strategy extending beyond the nation's borders. China transforms the energy leapfrog in emerging markets from a theoretical concept into a tangible reality. The colossal scale of Chinese manufacturing and the export of affordable clean technologies, combined with the transfer of implementation know-how, drive faster adoption across the Global South.

The structural shifts remain evident: in 2023, approximately one-quarter of emerging markets across Africa, Asia, and Latin America enjoy a higher degree of economy-wide electrification than the United States, while roughly 63% exhibit a higher share of solar power in their electricity generation mix [12, 46-47]. While the US grapples with grid inadequacy, Chinese technological and energy exports enable developing na-

tions to bypass traditional Western industrial stages, establishing resilient digital ecosystems in the developing world.

Domestically, Beijing enforces a centralized geographical redistribution of computational power. Through the “East Data, West Computing” initiative, the state redirects energy-intensive AI infrastructure toward resource-rich western provinces (Guizhou, Ningxia, Gansu, Inner Mongolia). These regions possess an abundance of clean energy and cheap land, while lower average temperatures minimize water requirements for server cooling, entirely eliminating the resource conflicts with households that paralyze the US.

The Chinese government's large-scale and forward-looking policies have significantly reduced structural disparities and strengthened social cohesion. Digitalization in PRC does not exploit rural areas; instead, it becomes their primary economic pillar. Massive infrastructure investments in western provinces directly subsidize rural telecommunications. As of the first quarter of 2026, China possesses an unprecedented 4.958 million 5G base stations, achieving comprehensive network coverage across all administrative townships [13].

Through programs like the “Digital Village,” farmers and the elderly in remote mountainous regions access low-cost, high-speed internet. They utilize live-streaming e-commerce platforms to sell agricultural yields directly to national markets. Furthermore, “internet hospitals” and mobile educational platforms equalize the quality of healthcare and schooling between rural villages and megacities.

Conclusion

The proposed comparative analysis of the Western market-based model for managing energy and digital infrastructure and China's state-led model provides a basis for drawing the following conclusions:

- Technological advancement in the era of artificial intelligence is a direct function of physical energy infrastructure. Digital development cannot occur in isolation from the capacity of the electrical grid; megawatts serve as the foundational prerequisite and a necessary condition for megabits.

- The market-centric approach in the US and the EU, where Big Tech conglomerates construct data centers without coordination with state energy plans, induces structural “infrastructural cannibalism.” This yields critical grid overloads, consumer impoverishment, resource deficits, energy and digital inequality, and intensifying social discontent.

- The resulting public friction, coupled with compounding administrative hurdles and energy gridlock, introduces an immediate and real risk of an irreversible technological lag of the West behind China in the global AI race.

- The Chinese paradigm demonstrates that state-directed planning and energy sovereignty successfully operationalize digitalization as an instrument for social cohesion. Through the “East Data, West Computing” initiative, environmental and resource conflicts are entirely bypassed, while economic yields are purposefully redirected toward rural poverty alleviation.

China actively redefines global development policy. Through the large-scale export of affordable clean

technologies and electrical expertise, the PRC enables emerging markets across the Global South to leapfrog traditional industrial steps and surpass advanced economies in economy-wide electrification and solar energy integration. This structural dynamic positions China as the primary architect of an emerging global digital and energy equity.

References:

1. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2026). *Electricity 2024: Analysis and Forecasts to 2026*. Paris: IEA Publications.
2. European Commission. (2026). *The Impact of Geopolitical Energy Shifts on the European Digital Transition and Grid Infrastructure Investment*. Brussels: EU Publications Office.
3. Capacity Global/Datacloud Global Congress. (2026). *Grid Connection Delays Force Shift to Power-First Data Centre Site Selection in Europe*. London: Capacity Media.
4. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. (2026). *US Data Centers' Electricity Consumption and Grid Demand Growth Forecast (2026-2030)*. US Department of Energy.
5. PJM Interconnection. (2025). *PJM Summer Outlook 2025: Resource Adequacy and AI-Driven Load Growth Projections*. PJM Media.
6. Romito, M. (2024). *The Industrial Footprint of Generative AI: Global Data Center Distribution and Energy Realities*. *Technology & Power Review*, Vol. 12(2), pp. 89-104.
7. The Guardian Analytics. (2026). *The Water Consumption of Hyperscale AI Infrastructure in Drought-Stressed Territories*. London: Guardian Media Group.
8. Adams, M. (2026). *Data Centers Are Quietly Draining Your Town's Water... And Making You Pay for It*. *Natural News*.
9. Data Center Watch. (2026). *The AI Rebellion: Public Backlash and Local Moratoriums on Digital Infrastructure in North America*.
10. Ember Energy. (2026). *Global Electricity Review 2026: The Rise of the Electrotech Revolution and Clean Power Growth*. Ember Tracker.
11. Xinhua News Agency. (2025). *Report on the Politburo Strategic Session on Artificial Intelligence and Sovereign National Capabilities*. Beijing: Xinhua.
12. Yang, L., Zhou, X., & Chen, W. (2025). *The Megawatt-to-Megabit Paradigm: China's Renewable Export and the Electrification of Emerging Markets*. Academic Press, pp. 46-47.
13. Ministry of Industry and Information Technology (MIIT) of the People's Republic of China. (2026). *Official Statistical Report on 5G Infrastructure Deployment and Telecommunications Development (Q1 2026)*. Beijing: MIIT.